

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/646,267	6,267 08/22/2003		Kathryn Lindsay Ball	CCI-007USDV	9453	
959	7590	01/06/2006		EXAMINER		
		FIELD, LLP.	LUKTON, DAVID			
28 STATE BOSTON,		19	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
505.01., MIT 02.05				1654		
					<del></del>	

DATE MAILED: 01/06/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/646,267	BALL ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	David Lukton	1654				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONED	I.  lely filed the mailing date of this communication.  O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 Ju     This action is FINAL. 2b)     This action is FINAL. 2b)     This action is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro					
Disposition of Claims						
4)  Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw  5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) 1-12 are subject to restriction and/or expectation a	wn from consideration. election requirement.	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05) No claim has been added, deleted, or amended.

. . . .

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C.

§121:

لرز

- I. Claims 1-8, drawn to a method of inhibiting an activity.
- II. Claims 9-10, drawn to an assay method

Claims 11-12 are not grouped. Claims 11-12 will be joined with the elected group.

The claimed inventions are distinct. One is an assay method, the other is a method of inhibiting an activity. Neither method suggests the other; the method of Group I can be readily practiced without ever entering the scope of the Group II method.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is reminded that upon cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a diligently filed petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(h).

. . . .

In addition to the foregoing, applicants are required under 35 U.S.C. §121 to elect disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

In the event that Group I is chosen for initial examination, election of each of the following is required:

- a) one of the following: (i) the G1 cdk is cdk4, or (ii) the G1 cdk is not cdk4;
- b) one of the following: (i) the "substance" referred to in line 2 of claim 1 is a single pure compound, or (ii) the substance is a mixture of at least two compounds;
- c) in the event that the "substance" (claim 1, line 2) is a mixture of at least two compounds, how many compounds are present?
- d) a specific "activity" of the G1 cdk that is to be inhibited.

Applicant is advised that a response to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a generic claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are witten in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentable distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. \_103 of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David Lukton whose telephone number is 571-272-0952. The examiner can normally be reached Monday-Friday from 9:30 to 6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bruce Campell, can be reached at (571)272-0974. The fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 571-272-1600.

DAVID LUKTON PATENT EXAMINER GROUP 1800